

IRISH CATTLE BREEDING FEDERATION

ICBF Dairy & Beef Industry Meeting.



8th October 2013



Dairy; 9.30-12.00

- Test day models. John McCarthy, ICBF. *Decision required.*
- Base change. Francis Kearney, ICBF.
 Decision required.
- Mastitis & lameness. Ross Evans, ICBF.
 Update
- Economic values. Laurence Shalloo, Teagasc. *Decision required.*
- Roll-out plan. Andrew Cromie, ICBF.
 Decision required.



Dairy & Beef; 12.00 - 3.00

- · AI codes, Pat Donnellan. Decision required.
- Health & disease traits. Donagh Berry, Teagasc & Jen McClure, ICBF - Update.
- Genomics & IDB19k. Donagh Berry, Teagasc
 & Matt McClure, ICBF. Update.
- Sexed semen. Andrew Cromie, ICBF.
 Update.



Beef; 3.00 – 4.00

- G€N€ IR€LAND Maternal Breeding Program. Stephen Conroy, ICBF. Update.
- Interbeef update. Thierry Pabiou, ICBF.
 Update.
- Meat eating quality. Andrew Cromie, Update.





IRISH CATTLE BREEDING FEDERATION

Test Day Model for Milk Production Traits



© Irish Cattle Breeding Federation Soc. Ltd 2009

Background

- Currently calculate 305 day values for each lactation
- 305 day model uses one 305 day figure for Milk/Fat/Protein/Scc which summarises whole lactation
- Operated on contract by CRV Holland
- The 305d figures are calculated using "lactation curves" software – assume lactation curves just differ in level



What

- Change from 305 day model to test day model where all individual recordings are directly included in evaluation.
- Instead of calculating 305 day yield and then evaluating, evaluate actual individual test day yield
- Significantly more computation required
- Use new software
- Collaboration with Finnish research institute (MTT)



Why

- More accurate estimation of environmental effects from including the influence of particular days of recording
- Optimal use of information from all test days
- Better use of records in progress
- Model individual cow lactation curves
- Remove necessity of predicting 305d
 will still be predicted for mat purposes
 - will still be predicted for mgt purposes
- Persistency evaluation
- Method of choice for many dairy evaluations internationally (NZ,NLD,CAN,DFS,...)
- Existing 305d model has proved quite robust

Where are we

- Participated in Interbull test run Jan 2013 with initial model and HO/FR bulls for milk/fat/prot
 - Model passed that test
- Further changes made to model over summer
 - Correction for Heterogeneity of Variance
 - Inclusion of later parities (5-15)
 - Other breeds (Red/Jersey/Sim-Mont)
- Participated in Interbull test run Sep 2013 with updated model milk/fat/prot for HOFR/JER/Red/Sim-Mont evaluations
 Model passed that test



What is a test day model

- Existing model evaluates a single trait
 i.e. 305 day milk yield
- Models each daily milk yield at each stage of the lactation
- Uses Random Regression
- Can think of it as
 - evaluating milk yield separately for each day of lactation
- Same for fat/prot
- As a bonus get persistency

Genetic Parameters

- New genetic parameters estimated
- Parities 1/2/3 <u>separate traits</u>
- Parities 4/5 repeated records of parity 3
- Parities >5 genetically same as 5, correction for parity via fixed effect
- Recall existing parameters
 - 0.35 heritability Milk/Fat/Prot



Model

- Age Calving (*fixed*)
- Days in calf (fixed)
- Herd/test day (*fixed*)
- Calving year*parity curve (*fixed*)
- Herd/Year curve (*random*)
- Permanent env curve (*random*)
- Animal genetic curve (random)



Heritability - Daily Milk Yield

Heritablity Milk yield across lactation



 Heritability varies across lactation

 Also varies between lactations







Genetic correlations within lactation

| | | | Mil | k 1 | | | | | | | Mil | k 3 | | | |
|-----|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-----|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| DIM | 5 | 55 | 105 | 155 | 205 | 255 | 305 | DIM | 5 | 55 | 105 | 155 | 205 | 255 | 305 |
| 5 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 0.69 | 0.55 | 0.44 | 0.39 | 0.38 | 5 | 1.00 | 0.87 | 0.70 | 0.56 | 0.46 | 0.37 | 0.28 |
| 55 | | 1.00 | 0.96 | 0.87 | 0.78 | 0.69 | 0.59 | 55 | | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.87 | 0.77 | 0.63 | 0.40 |
| 105 | | | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.92 | 0.84 | 0.70 | 105 | | | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.91 | 0.77 | 0.49 |
| 155 | | | | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.93 | 0.79 | 155 | | | | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.87 | 0.59 |
| 205 | | | | | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.87 | 205 | | | | | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.73 |
| 255 | | | | | | 1.00 | 0.95 | 255 | | | | | | 1.00 | 0.91 |
| 305 | | | | | | | 1.00 | 305 | | | | | | | 1.00 |

Milk at start of lactation is only moderately genetic correlated milk with end of lactation

Genetic correlations across lactation



Milk is not identical trait across lactation, correlation of about 0.80 between same stage at parity 1 vs parity 3

Presentation

- Remember proofs generated for each day in milk, for each parity (1-3). How to present this as single breeding value?
- Assume daughters milk for 305 days so sum ebv's across lactation day 5 to 305.
- · Weighting across parity

Published EBV=

$$\frac{1}{3}$$
 Parity₁ + $\frac{1}{3}$ Parity₂ + $\frac{1}{3}$ Parity₃



Persistency

- Bulls will have evaluation for milk yield, for <u>each day in milk</u>
- Allows calculation of persistency
 - Various definitions, measure of "flatness" of lactation curve
 - E.g. milk @ day 60 compared day 270
 - Relevant post-quota peak processing capacity issues
 - Need to consider most relevant measure in Irish context



Persistency





- Different cows have different shape lactation curves
- Can we select for "flatter" curves (blue/yellow curves)
 - Ongoing researchon optimumformulation

Results

- List of test proofs distributed
- Base change included also (Separate presentation by FK)
- Correlation bull proofs 0.97
 i.e. little reranking bull proofs
- Correlation cow proofs 0.92
 - $\cdot\,$ i.e. some reranking cow proofs



Results(bull proofs)

MILK EBV(Old Vs New)





| Al Code | Rank Old | Rank New | Name | |
|---------|---|---|--|--|
| EZA | 1 | 1 | ETAZON ADDISON | Top Milk |
| HRW | 2 | 16 | MILKBOARD HOWARD | |
| JKB | 3 | 2 | JOCKO BESNE | Bulls |
| VSR | 4 | 6 | VISSTAR REBUS ET | |
| HSP | 5 | 9 | HOLSTEIN FOCUS PACIFIC | Ranking |
| JAE | 6 | 7 | JALLABERT | |
| EMO | 7 | 5 | ELITE MOUNTAIN DONOR ET | |
| BWO | 8 | 10 | BELLWOOD BEREND ET | |
| MSI | 9 | 17 | MARKO S MOUNTAIN | |
| OJI | 10 | 3 | O-BEE MANFRED JUSTICE | |
| IJL | 11 | 4 | IJLSTER TALISMAN | Current vs |
| MRJ | 12 | 14 | MONAMORE EASY JET | |
| JKO | 13 | 11 | JK EDER HARO | New |
| QUR | 14 | 13 | QG EUROPE ET | |
| LRM | 15 | 49 | LYNBROOK FREEMONT | |
| HZO | 16 | 8 | HAZAEL EMINENCE DANO | |
| CMZ | 17 | 12 | CALBRETT HH CHAMPION | |
| QGC | 18 | 15 | GALTEE QG CHRIS ET | |
| KES | 19 | 25 | KELSTEIN E 164 | |
| QGD | 20 | 37 | IBANE Q G AALDERT | |
| | AI Code EZA HRW JKB VSR HSP JAE EMO BWO MSI OJI JL MRJ JKO QUR LRM HZO CMZ CMZ CMZ CMZ CMZ | AI Code Rank Old EZA 1 HRW 2 JKB 3 VSR 4 HSP 5 JAE 6 EMO 7 BWO 8 MSI 9 OJI 10 JL 11 MRJ 12 JKO 13 QUR 14 LRM 15 HZO 16 CMZ 17 QGC 18 KES 19 QGD 20 | AI Code Rank Old Rank New EZA 1 1 HRW 2 16 JKB 3 2 JKB 3 2 VSR 4 6 HSP 5 9 JAE 6 7 BWO 8 10 MSI 9 17 OJI 10 3 IJL 11 4 MRJ 12 14 JKO 13 11 QUR 14 3 LRM 15 49 HZO 16 8 CMZ 17 12 QGC 18 15 KES 19 25 QGD 20 37 | Al CodeRank OldRank NewNameEZA11ETAZON ADDISONHRW216MILKBOARD HOWARDJKB32JOCKO BESNEVSR46VISSTAR REBUS ETHSP59HOLSTEIN FOCUS PACIFICJAE67JALLABERTEMO75ELITE MOUNTAIN DONOR ETBWO810BELLWOOD BEREND ETMSI917MARKO S MOUNTAINOJI103O-BEE MANFRED JUSTICEIJL114IJLSTER TALISMANMRJ1214MONAMORE EASY JETJKO1311JK EDER HAROQUR1413QG EUROPE ETLRM1549LYNBROOK FREEMONTHZO168HAZAEL EMINENCE DANOCMZ1712CALBRETT HH CHAMPIONQGC1815GALTEE QG CHRIS ETKES1925KELSTEIN E 164QGD2037IBANE Q G AALDERT |

Results

- Euro change in bull proofs
 - Old Avg Milk Sub Index €16
 - New Avg Milk Sub Index €13
- Some very old bulls have lost data (no individual test days back in early 1990's)



Across Country Genetic Correlations(Interbull)

| | Aug (Official Run) | | Sep (Test Run) | | | |
|-----|--------------------|------|----------------|------|------|---------|
| | Milk | Fat | Protein | Milk | Fat | Protein |
| CAN | 0.85 | 0.81 | 0.77 | 0.85 | 0.80 | 0.77 |
| DEU | 0.81 | 0.78 | 0.75 | 0.82 | 0.76 | 0.75 |
| DFS | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.76 | 0.86 | 0.83 | 0.77 |
| FRA | 0.91 | 0.87 | 0.82 | 0.92 | 0.87 | 0.84 |
| ITA | 0.78 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.80 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| NLD | 0.87 | 0.85 | 0.79 | 0.87 | 0.82 | 0.80 |
| USA | 0.83 | 0.79 | 0.75 | 0.84 | 0.77 | 0.78 |
| CHE | 0.87 | 0.83 | 0.79 | 0.88 | 0.83 | 0.82 |
| GBR | 0.83 | 0.78 | 0.80 | 0.82 | 0.78 | 0.78 |
| NZL | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 |



Bull Type Correlations

| Correlations Different Bull Type | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------|------|------|------|----------------------------|--|
| | Num Bulls | Milk | Fat | Prot | | |
| Proven Bulls | 777 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.95 | HO 80 daus dob>1993 | |
| Highly Proven Bulls | 193 | 0.97 | 0.98 | 0.97 | HO 500 daus dob>1993 | |
| Young Bulls | 69 | 0.96 | 0.97 | 0.97 | HO 80 daus dob>2007 | |



Bull Type Mean(Stddev)

| MIIK(OId/NeW) | | | | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------|----------|--|--|--|--|
| Proven Bulls | 122(204) | 177(260) | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Highly Proven Bulls | 223(182) | 286(236) | | | | |
| Young Bulls | 220(191) | 268(243) | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Fat(Old/New) | | | | | |
| Proven Bulls | 11(7) | 15(10) | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Highly Proven Bulls | 10(7) | 14(11) | | | | |
| Young Bulls | 9(6) | 12(10) | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Pi | rotein(Old/New) | | | | | |
| Proven Bulls | 8(6) | 11(8) | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Highly Proven Bulls | 10(5) | 12(7) | | | | |
| Young Bulls | 9(5) | 11(7) | | | | |
| | | | | | | |



Couple High Profile Bulls

| | Mi | ilk | Fa | ıt | Prot | | |
|-----|--------|---------|-------|---------|------|---------|--|
| | New | Current | New | Current | New | Current | |
| UYC | 149.6 | 128.0 | 28.8 | 18.0 | 16.0 | 12.5 | |
| ТІН | -463.1 | -324.0 | -17.9 | -6.0 | -5.0 | -1.5 | |
| RUU | 152.3 | 139.5 | 15.0 | 10.5 | 5.2 | 4.5 | |
| NHS | 44.9 | 104.0 | 14.4 | 13.0 | 12.3 | 12.0 | |
| MFX | 143.5 | 185.0 | 8.0 | 8.5 | 14.4 | 13.0 | |
| MAU | 123.4 | 175.0 | 11.8 | 10.5 | 11.3 | 10.5 | |
| LBO | 364.4 | 323.0 | 17.7 | 13.5 | 11.8 | 10.0 | |
| JOS | 149.8 | 170.5 | 8.4 | 8.0 | 13.5 | 11.5 | |
| GMI | 161.0 | 173.5 | 12.0 | 10.5 | 11.0 | 10.0 | |
| RDU | 304.2 | 322.5 | 17.9 | 17.0 | 8.9 | 9.0 | |



Cow Proofs Correlations Cows

| | Num Cows | Milk | Fat | Protein | |
|------------|-------------|------|------|---------|---------------------------------|
| Cows (all) | 528,888 | 0.94 | 0.92 | 0.91 | Ebi Report |
| Parity 1 | 118,103 | 0.93 | 0.89 | 0.89 | |
| Parity 2 | 109,127 | 0.94 | 0.93 | 0.91 | |
| Parity 3 | 90,314 | 0.94 | 0.92 | 0.91 | |
| Parity 4 | 66,916 | 0.93 | 0.91 | 0.90 | |
| Parity 5+ | 144,428 | 0.94 | 0.93 | 0.93 | |
| Bull Dams | 302 | 0.94 | 0.91 | 0.91 | Irish coded bulls dob > 2008 |

CowType Mean(Stddev)

| Μ | ilk (Old/New) | |
|---|---------------|----------|
| | 152(193) | 173(256) |

| Cows (all) | | |
|------------|----------------|----------|
| | 111(180) | 133(218) |
| | | |
| | Fat(Old/New) | |
| Bull Dams | 14(7) | 18(11) |
| Cows (all) | | |
| | 7(6) | 8(10) |
| | | |
| Pr | otein(Old/New) | |
| Bull Dams | 11(6) | 12(7) |
| Cows (all) | | |
| | 6(5) | 7(6) |
| | | |



Bull Dams

Reliabilities

- Reliabilities depend on amount data
- · Cows with higher num tests have
- Example Two 3rd parity cows, same sire/mgs, A4 vs A8
- Cows on less records are slightly pulled back

| Cow A | 46% | 57% prev |
|-------|-----|----------|
| | | · |
| Cow B | 52% | 55% prev |



Next Steps

- Complete full run i.e. include genomic evaluations, interbull proofs, new economic weights
- · Circulate complete set test proofs
- Persistency proofs Spring 2014





IRISH CATTLE BREEDING FEDERATION

Base Change



8th October 2013



A reference group of animals which all other animals can be compared against

Set their predicted transmitting ability (PTA) to 0 and adjust all other animals accordingly

Example:

| | Un-adjusted | Base Adjusted |
|----------------------|-------------|---------------|
| Cow A - born in 1995 | 0 | -100 |
| Cow B - born in 2005 | 100 | 0 |
| Cow C - born in 2011 | 200 | 100 |



Key to base is that the PTA of the base animals do not change from run to run

Pick a group of animals whose PTA are unlikely to change with the addition of more information

Most countries chose a fixed base which gets updated periodically – compare current animals with a more reflective group of animals

The amount a base changes by is a reflection of the genetic progress for that trait



Currently separate base for production and fertility traits Production base is 1995 born cows milk recorded in 2000 Fertility is sires born between 1988 and 1992 with 90% reliability

New base for production & fertility is 2005 born cows, calved and milk recorded in 2007, with at least 2 year out of 5 milk recorded

2005 born will have had the opportunity to contribute information to each lactation used in the evaluation e.g., we use the first 5 calving intervals for fertility so 2005 born cows would now have calved for the 6th time



| | | € Change |
|---------|--------|----------|
| | Change | Overall |
| Milk | -114.5 | |
| Fat | -5.4 | |
| Protein | -6 | -32 |
| CI | 2.8 | |
| SUR | -0.96 | -44 |
| | | -76 |

| Parity | Num. | Milk Ka | Fat Ko | Protein Ka | Fat % | Prot % | |
|---------|--------|---------|--------|------------|---------|---------|-----|
| rancy | C0W3 | | Tat Ky | rioteni ky | 1 at 70 | FIUL 70 | |
| 1 | 59,894 | 5540 | 216 | 188 | 3.90 | 3.39 | 399 |
| 2 | 53,871 | 6248 | 244 | 216 | 3.91 | 3.46 | 399 |
| 3 | 45,769 | 6587 | 258 | 227 | 3.92 | 3.45 | 397 |
| 4 | 36,331 | 7053 | 276 | 244 | 3.91 | 3.46 | 392 |
| 5 | 24,571 | 7026 | 277 | 243 | 3.94 | 3.46 | 381 |
| | | | | | | | |
| 1 - OLD | 73,000 | 5194 | 197 | 171 | 3.79 | 3.30 | 404 |


Base Change

Introduction of new models (TDM), or Economic Values may cause re-ranking however a base change DOES NOT cause a change in bull **rankings**

Each animal is affected equally

Necessary to ensure people can compare their animals to a relevant group of cows



Towards an udder health index

In cooporation with Wageningen UR

Data set



- Each year more lactations recorded
- 4 195 327 lactations recorded,
- on 1 506 173 cows -> on average 2.8 lactation per cow.
- on average 5.1 test days per lactation.

Variation in #TD recorded / lactation



- In Ireland bulk of lactations have 4 or 5 TD recorded per lactation (2 months intervals) but up to 12 or more
- Evaluation will have to cope with variation and incomplete lactations

Traits to be evaluated

| Trait | Description | # of testdays required |
|------------------------|--|---------------------------|
| Mean SCS | Mean SCS of Test days in lactation | 1 |
| High SCC | 1 if at least 1 TD with SCC > 150 000, otherwise 0 | 1 |
| Extreme SCC | 1 if at least 1 TD with SCC > 1 000 000, otherwise 0 | 1 |
| Low SCC | 1 if at least 1 TD with SCC < 80 000, otherwise 0 | 1 |
| Early SCC | Mean SCC of TD before 150 days | 1 before 150 d |
| Late SCC | Mean SCC of TD before 150 days | 1 after 150 d |
| Proportion high SCC | #TD with SCC > 150 000/ total # TD | 1 |
| Standard deviation SCC | Standard deviation of SCC of Test days | 6 |
| Number SCC peaks | Peak = 1 TD > 150 000 after a TD < 150 000 | 6 |

- Traits on lactation basis, derived from Testday SCC
- To capture variation in patterns of SCC over lactation
 E.g. long lasting infections vs. Short intensive
- Based on Swedish, Dutch and Canadian research



Phenotypic variation

 Traits show different pattern over years and parities

Time schedule

| Date to be completed | Action | |
|----------------------|---|---|
| 1 October | Select and compute SCC-based traits per lactation | V |
| 15 October | Add Mastitis data from management recording systems | |
| 1 November | Estimate genetic parameters (heritability, correlations etc.) | |
| 15 November | Further selection of traits | |
| 1 December | Breeding Value estimation | |
| 15 December | Delivery of procedures and report | |

EBI Economic value update

Laurence Shalloo, Una Geary and Nicolas Lopez Villalobos

Processing sector model

- The processing sector model is a simulation model
- It is built with both an annual and a monthly time step model and can incorporate seasonal effects into the analysis
- The model is developed in Microsoft Excel and is solved using Visual Basic
- It is a mass balance model, accounting for all inputs and outputs

Processing sector model schematic



Updates

Model

Costs

• Prices

Model

- MPSM (Geary et al., 2010)
- Annual time step
- Products produced
 - Cheese
 - SMP
 - -WMP
 - Casein
 - Butter

Model assumptions – Product Port folio

- 50% of additional milk goes into WMP
- 20% of the additional milk goes into cheese
- Increase in tonnage terms of all products produced
- Product port folio with increased milk output
 - 35% cheese, 25% Butter, 30% WMP and 10% SMP

Model Assumptions Price

- OECD-FAO Agricultural Outlook 2011-2020
- FAPRI ISU 2011 World Agricultural Outlook
- USDA Agricultural Projections to 2020

Price Projections - Powders

US \$/ tonne



Price Projections – Cheese & Butter

US \$/ tonne



Costs

Costs in the MDSM updated

-VAT

- Replacement heifer costs
- Concentrate
- Fertiliser
- Silage contracting

| Category | Cost (€) |
|--|----------|
| Concentrates | 165 |
| Fertilizer, Lime and Reseeding | 155 |
| Land Rental | 200 |
| Machinery Hire | 15 |
| Silage Making | 90 |
| Vet, AI and Medicine | 128 |
| Total Variable Costs | 753 |
| Car use, water and electricity | 30 |
| Labour | 203 |
| Machinery operation and Repair | 20 |
| Phone | 10 |
| Insurance, A/Cs, T'Port, Sundries | 39 |
| Interest repayments- term loan | 86 |
| Total Fixed Costs | 388 |
| Buildings | 55 |
| Machinery | 22 |
| Total Costs | 1,218 |
| Initial value of the calf | 350 |
| Sales of heifers failing to Conceive | -23 |
| Net Cost of rearing a replacement heifer | 1.545 |

Outputs

- MPSM
 - Milk Price 29.48 cpl
 - Ratio of protein to fat 2.56 : 1
 - Fat value €2.8532
 - Protein value €7.3042

| | | 2010 |
|-------------|------------------|--------|
| Yield | Protein | 6.26 |
| | Fat | 1.01 |
| | Milk | -0.09 |
| Fertility | Survival | 12.05 |
| | Calving Interval | -11.89 |
| Maintenance | Maternal | -1.49 |
| | | |

| | | 2010 | 2013 |
|-------------|------------------|--------|--------|
| | | | |
| Yield | Protein | 6.26 | 6.64 |
| | Fat | 1.01 | 1.04 |
| | Milk | -0.09 | -0.09 |
| Fertility | Survival | 12.78 | 12.01 |
| | Calving Interval | -11.89 | -12.43 |
| Maintenance | Maternal | -1.49 | -1.65 |

Current versus new

| Sub-index | Trait | Old emphasis | New emphasis | New emphasis |
|-------------|------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Production | Milk | 10.5% | 10.6% | 33% |
| | Fat | 3.4% | 3.4% | |
| | Protein | 18.5% | 18.9% | |
| Fertility | Calving interval | 23.8% | 24.0% | 35% |
| | Survival | 11.3% | 10.9% | |
| Calving | Calving difficulty dir | 3.0% | 2.9% | 9% |
| | Calving difficulty mat | 1.4% | 1.4% | |
| | Gestation | 4.4% | 4.2% | |
| | Calf mortality | 1.1% | 1.0% | |
| Maintenance | Cow | 6.0% | 7.3% | 7% |
| Beef | Carcase weight | 5.2% | 5.2% | 9% |
| | Carcase conform | 1.9% | 1.8% | |
| | Carcase fat | 1.2% | 1.2% | |
| | Cull cow | 0.8% | 0.8% | |
| Health | Lameness | 0.6% | 0.6% | 3% |
| | Mastitis | 0.8% | 0.8% | |
| | SCC | 2.0% | 1.8% | |
| Management | Milking duration | 2.2% | 2.1% | 4% |
| | Temperament | 2.0% | 2.0% | |

EBI evolution



Top 500 bulls



EBI changes in top 100 bulls



Ranking current EBI

Ranking changes in top 100 bulls



Ranking current EBI



IRISH CATTLE BREEDING FEDERATION

EBI Roll-out Plan.



Andrew Cromie



Roll-out of EBI changes



- Need for Industry wide Collaboration in Roll-out
 - ICBF
 - Teagasc
 - AI Organisations
 - IFJ/Independent/Examiner
 - ACA advisors
 - Dairy Co-ops
 - Others ???
- Organise 3-4 meetings to up-skill Teagasc Advisors & Al Sales Reps.
 - Ballyhaise, Kilkenny, Mallow, ??
 - 3-4 speakers: ICBF, Teagasc, AI Org, Others?
 - 2 hours



Roll-out of EBI changes



- Educational material to be compiled by ICBF/Teagasc
- Print Media Campaign
 - IFJ
 - Farming Independent/Examiner
 - Teagasc Today's Farm
 - Farmers Monthly
 - Co-op publications e.g. Milk Matters
 - Others ???
- Online Media Campaign
 - Web site material to be developed by ICBF
 - Record Webinar and put online
 - Websites: ICBF, Teagasc, AI Orgs, IFJ, ??
 - Also promote Webinar on Facebook & Twitter





Roll-out of EBI changes



- Education material included with EBI report in January
- Teagasc Conference?
- Irish Grassland Conference?
- AI Company regional meetings?
- AI Technician Training?
- Others?







IRISH CATTLE BREEDING FEDERATION

AI Codes





Background

- Three types of AI Codes exist:
- 1. 3 letter codes ('Widespread' & 'Test Purposes')
 - E.g. 'SOK', 'DRU','NVI'
 - @150 Codes issued per annum
 - 50 Holstein,12 Friesian,6 Limousin,5 Charolais,3 Angus, 3 Simmental...
- 2. 'Special Breeding Purposes' (Small quantities/Ped Breeding)
 - E.g. 'S1623.....'
 - @140 Codes issued per annum
 - 57 Holstein, 19 Simmental, 9 Belgian Blues, 7 Limousins....
- 3. 'On-Farm' collected Bulls
 - E.g. 'F198.....'
 - @10 codes issued per annum

For 3 letter coded bulls - AI Code generally follows a Bull's name:

- Sunnybank Oman = 'SOK'
- Derrough Samual = 'DRU',



Background

However, we are now running out of 3 letter codes!

- In theory there are 17,576 codes.
- 7,923 given out so far.

•

٠

- Current system lasted over 50 years.
- However only 28 three letter combinations now exist that are more than one character away from an existing code.
- AI Codes will increasingly not reflect the bull's name:
- 'Bighill Tony' could get an AI Code 'XPZ'.

Longlasting solution required

- It must be alpha numeric (DAF).
- It should be simple and catchy so as farmers will continue to use it.
- It must have a set length that will not increase.
- Length of code should be as short as possible so as not to disrupt too many screens/reports etc.
- It must be longlasting so as the system does not have to be changed for a longtime.



Option 1

- 'Alpha Numeric' Code:
- 1 letter followed by max of 4 numbers
- \cdot When 4 numbers reached then move onto next letter etc
- E.g.A10......A1000....B10.....B1000 etc
- · <u>Pros:</u>

•

- Set Length will never be more than 5 characters in length
- Will be longlasting 25,740 combinations = 170 years
- · <u>Cons:</u>
- Not 'catchy'
- Tells you nothing about the breed of the bull.



Option 2

- Resurrect 'Original' Coding system:
- 2 letters signifying breed and country of origin followed by max of 4 numbers
- E.g.CF75=French Charolais, IS12=Irish Simmental etc
- Pros:

٠

٠

٠

- Set Length will never be more than 6 characters in length
- Is 'catchy' and farmers would be familiar with it e.g. CF52 etc
- Tells you something about the Bull breed and country of origin.
- · <u>Cons:</u>
- Could be confused with the breed code by farmers
 - 'IH2321' is an Irish Holstein Bull with breed code 'HO'.
 - An Irish Hereford Bull should also be 'IH2321' but can't be etc.
- Multiple combinations of breed and country will get complicated

<u>Option 3</u>

- 'Number Plate' Coding system:
- Year x Breed x Number
- E.g.13CH1075=Charolais Sire, 13LM1012= Limousin Sire etc
- · <u>Pros:</u>

•

- Tells you something about the Bull's breed and year of first code
- Would be longlasting
- · <u>Cons:</u>
- Is very long (8 characters) and will cause problems for bull lists, AI Catalogues etc
- Is not catchy for farmers remembering it. Danger that parts of it will be left out.


Option 4

- Introduce a simple Breed x number Coding system:
- 2 Breed letters followed by 4 numbers
- E.g.CH1075=Charolais Sire, LM1012= Limousin Sire etc
- Pros:

•

٠

- Set Length will never be more than 6 characters in length.
- Is 'catchy' and farmers would be familiar with it e.g. CF52 etc.
- Would be quick and easy to administer.
- Tells you something about the Bull's breed and age.
- Would be longlasting 150 years before Holstein bulls would run out.
- Beef Breeds 400 years before most beef breeds would run out.
- · <u>Cons:</u>
- Only slight negative is that it is 6 characters long. Longest current AI Code is 5 characters long.

Summary

Preference would be to go with 'Option 4'.

•

٠

٠

- Breed x Number coding system i.e CH1075, CH1076 etc

 $\cdot~$ It is logical, has a set length and will be longlasting.

Plan is to have a new system in place for January 1st 2014.

Please think about it and come back before October 31st with any suggestions/ideas.





IRISH CATTLE BREEDING FEDERATION

Active Beef Bull List







Backround

• Active Beef Bull Lists have been produced since 2001.

The content of the list & criteria for bulls to make the list has changed over this time.

Would like to focus on the list for Autumn 2013 to address various issues that have been raised with it:

Content

•

•

•

٠

- Too many fields included - information overload.

Criteria

- Who is the list for? (Ped or Commercial farmers or both).
- Minimum reliability for bulls to make the list?
- Price cutoffs for bulls on the list?
- Availability information are bulls really available?



Content

| | | | | | | | | | | Teri | ninal | Inc | ex | - A(| :ti\ | /e B | eef E | Bull | Lis | t (Ap | ril 2013 |) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|--|--------------------------|----|-------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|---------------------|--------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-------|--------------|----------------------------|-----------------|----------|----|-------|----------|
| | | | | | | | Termina | i index. | Acti | ve Bull L | ist Criteri | a:Tern | inal I | ndex R | d%: | 50%. (| Calving D | inicul | ity Rel | 1% 50 | %. Carca | iss Weig | ht Re | 1%>50 | 5 . | | | | | | | | |
| | High Reliability Bulls are shaded in green. They have reliability % figures > 80% for Terminal Index, Calving Difficulty % & Carcass Weight Angus | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Angus Terminal Replacement Key Profit Traits | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Buil Details Terminal Replacement Key Profit Traits Calving C | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Supplie | er Def | tails | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Bull Details | | | In | dex | | Index | | | | Calve | Ving T% Docility | | | | | C | arcass | Weight | (Kg) | D | rught | er Milk () | (g) | Dau | phter ((| Calving Interval (Days) | | | | | BTAD |
| Rank | Code | Name | Bd | 6-val | Rel N | Stars Within | Stars Across | 6-mi | Rel 5 | Stars Within | Stars Across | PD | Rei % | PO | Rel 5 | Stars Within | Stars Across | PO | Rei N | Stars Within | Stars Across | PD | Rel % | Stars Within | Stars Across | PD | Rel N | Stars Within | Stars Across | Supplier | ¢ | Avail | Approved |
| 1 | RZI | BALMACHIE RANNAI D003 | AA | \$77 | 66 | 5 | 2 | C 266 | 52 | 5 | 5 | 2.2 | 76 | 0 | 44 | 25 | 3 | 19 | 78 | 5 | 2 | 16.85 | 26 | 5 | 5 | -7.15 | 37 | 5 | 5 | NCBC | 40 | М | YES |
| 2 | CYI | CONEYISLAND LEGEND | AA | £60 | 87 | 5 | 2 | C128 | 77 | 2 | 4 | 2.7 | 97 | -0.03 | 93 | 2 | 2.5 | 15 | 97 | 5 | 1.5 | -0.07 | 55 | 0.5 | 2.5 | -4.96 | 62 | 2 | 45 | Dovea | 30 | H | YES |
| 3 | RWB | RAWBURN LORD ROCKET F609 | AA | 6 60 | 87 | 5 | 2 | C 265 | 72 | 5 | 5 | 5.1 | 96 | 0.18 | 95 | 5 | 5 | 23 | 97 | 5 | 3 | 16.1 | 39 | 5 | 5 | -4.85 | 54 | 2 | 45 | Dovea | 10 | L. | YES |
| 4 | GGL | GEIS GAMBLER 21'90 | AA | C 9 | 58 | 5 | 2 | 695 | 53 | 1 | 3 | 3.2 | 74 | 0.07 | 55 | 4 | 4 | 19 | 66 | 5 | 2 | 5.51 | 70 | 15 | 4 | -3 | 32 | 0.5 | 4 | NCBC | 20 | L. | YES |
| 5 | LFG | LUDDENMORE FIONN G441 | AA | 658 | 87 | - 5 | 2 | C 197 | 68 | - 5 | 5 | 3.1 | 98 | 0.01 | 97 | 3 | 3 | 13 | 95 | 5 | 1.5 | 5.93 | 23 | 1.5 | 4 | -5.59 | 46 | 3 | - 5 | Dovea | 10 | L. | YES |
| 6 | AYR | AYNHO ROSSITER ERIC B125 | AA | 658 | 80 | - 5 | 2 | 636 | 70 | - 5 | 5 | 2.2 | 93 | 0.06 | 84 | - 4 | - 4 | 23 | 82 | 5 | 3 | 26.1 | 72 | 5 | - 5 | -5.69 | 49 | 3 | - 5 | NCBC | 45 | н | YES |
| 7 | WAO | WOODVALE TOTAL G616 | AA | 68 | 64 | 5 | 2 | £170 | 47 | 4 | 4.5 | 2.3 | 96 | -0.08 | 82 | 1 | 15 | ii | 61 | 4.5 | -15 | 8.72 | 4 | 3.5 | 4.5 | -4.63 | 27 | 15 | 4.5 | Eurogene | 10 | М | YES |
| 8 | LWS | LAWSONS ROMEO C938 | AA | C 8 | 62 | 5 | 2 | C 233 | 43 | 5 | 5 | 0.7 | 60 | 0.08 | 46 | 45 | 45 | 8 | 81 | 3.5 | 1 | 6.32 | 4 | 2 | 4 | -7.33 | 24 | 5 | 5 | Dovea | 10 | Н | YES |
| 9 | DXB | DARIMA BOND | AA | 67 | 56 | 5 | 2 | \$180 | 50 | 4.5 | 5 | 1 | 76 | 0.16 | 51 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 58 | 3 | 1 | 6.55 | 38 | 2 | 4 | -7.08 | 38 | 4.5 | 5 | Eurogene | ii | М | YES |
| 10 | LZE | LANIGAN RED BLAZE ET | AA | 654 | 87 | 5 | 15 | 665 | 77 | 0.5 | 2.5 | 6.6 | 97 | 0.1 | 97 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 20 | 98 | 5 | 2.5 | 1.46 | 62 | 0.5 | 3 | -3.1 | 63 | 0.5 | 4 | NCBC | 12 | н | YES |
| ii | KDU | KILKELLY DUKE | AA | 654 | 86 | 5 | 15 | C 180 | 69 | 4.5 | 5 | 3.1 | 96 | -0.06 | 93 | 1.5 | 2 | 14 | 97 | 5 | 1.5 | 7.01 | 22 | 2.5 | 4.5 | -5.08 | 53 | 2.5 | 4.5 | NCBC | 10 | н | YES |

• Information Overload???



Content

- Currently 2 lists produced a 'Terminal' & a 'Replacement Index' list.
- 34 Fields currently included in Active Bull Lists:
- Bull Details: Rank, Code, Name & Breed Golumns
- Terminal Index: € val, Rel %, Stars Within, Stars Across Columns
- Replacement Index: € val, Rel %, Stars Within, Stars Acrossumns
 Key Profit Traits:
 - Calving Diff %: PD, Rel %

•

•

•

- Docility: PD, Rel %, Stars Within, Stars Across
- Carcass Weight: PD, Rel %, Stars Within, Stars Across
- Daughter Milk: PD, Rel %, Stars Within, Stars Across
- Daughter Calving Interval: PD, Rel %, Stars Within, Stars Across 4
- Supplier Details: Supplier, Price, Avail, BTAP ApprovedColumns
- (Dairy Beef Index still has to be included)



18

Columns

Columns

Content

| | | | | | | | | | | Term | ninal | Ind | ex | - A(| tiv | e B | eef B | ull | Lis | t (Ap | ril 2013 |) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|------|--------------------------|----|-------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|--|---------------------|-----------------|------------------|----------|------------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-------|----------|----------------------|-----------------|----------|-------|-------|----------|
| | | | | | | | Terminal | Index/ | Activ | e Bull List | t Criteri | a:Term | inal I | ndex R | (%) | 50%. C | alving D | ifficul | ty Rei | % 50 | %. Carca | ss Weig | ht Re | 1%>507 | i. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | High R | eliability | Bulls ar | e sh | aded in gr | reen. Th | ney hav | e rel | iability | % fij | ures) | 80% for | Term | inal Ir | ndex, C | lalving D | ifficulty | 88 | Carcas | Weight | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | An | gus | | | | | | 2013) Carcass Weight Rel %o 30%. ing Difficulty % & Carcass Weight Supplier Details Supplier Details Bughter Milk (Kg) Dughter Calving Interval (Daya) Bughter Calving Interval (Daya) Supplier Details Bughter Milk (Kg) Dughter Calving Interval (Daya) Bughter Calving Interval (Daya) Bughter Details Interval Bughter Details Interval Bughter Calving Interval (Daya) Interval Bughter Details Intervalop <th< td=""></th<> | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Teri | mina | al | Re | ola | ceme | ent | | | | | | | | | Key | Profit T | raits | | | | | | | | Supplie | r Det | ails | |
| | | Bull Details | | | In | dex | | | In | Idex | | Calvin Diff 1 | 9 | | Do | cility | | C | ircass | Weight | (Kg) | D | rughti | r Milk (K | g) | Dau | phter (| Calving Is (Days) | nterval | | | | RTAD |
| Rank | Code | Name | Bd | 6-mi | Rel % | Stars Within | Stars Across | 6ml | Rel % | Stars I Within A | Stars Across | PD | Rel % | P0 | Rel S | Stars Within | Stars Across | 10 | Rel N | Stars Within | Stars Across | PD | Rel % | Stars Within | Stars Across | PD | Rel N | Stars Within | Stars Across | Supplier | ¢ | Avail | Approved |
| 1 | RZI | BALMACHIE RANNAI DO05 | AA | \$77 | 66 | 5 | 2 | C 266 | 6 52 5 5 5 2.2 76 0 44 2.5 3 19 78 5 2 16.85 26 5 5 -7.15 37 5 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | NCBC | 40 | М | YES | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | CYI | CONEVISLAND LEGEND | AA | £60 | 87 | 5 | 2 | C1 28 | 77 | 2 | 4 | 2.7 | 97 | 9 .03 | 93 | 2 | 25 | 15 | 97 | 5 | 1.5 | -0.07 | 55 | 0.5 | 2.5 | -4.96 | 62 | 2 | 4.5 | Dovea | 30 | H | YES |
| 3 | RWB | RAWBURN LORD ROCKET F609 | AA | 6 60 | 87 | 5 | 2 | 6265 | 72 | 5 | 5 | 5.1 | 96 | 0.18 | 95 | 5 | 5 | 23 | 97 | 5 | 3 | 16.1 | 39 | 5 | 5 | -4.85 | 54 | 2 | 4.5 | Dovea | 10 | τ | YES |
| 4 | GGL | GEIS GAMBLER 21'90 | AA | C 9 | 58 | 5 | 2 | 65 | 53 | 1 | 3 | 3.2 | 74 | 0.07 | 55 | 4 | 4 | 19 | 66 | 5 | 2 | 5.51 | 70 | 15 | 4 | -3 | 32 | 0.5 | 4 | NCBC | 20 | L | YES |
| 5 | LFG | LUDDENMORE FIONN G441 | AA | 658 | 87 | 5 | 2 | C1 97 | 68 | 5 | 5 | 3.1 | 98 | 0.01 | 97 | 3 | 3 | 13 | 95 | 5 | 1.5 | 5.93 | 23 | 1.5 | 4 | -5.59 | 46 | 3 | 5 | Dovea | 10 | τ | YES |
| 6 | AYR | AYNHO ROSSITER ERIC B125 | AA | 658 | 80 | 5 | 2 | C 336 | 70 | 5 | 5 | 2.2 | 93 | 0.06 | 84 | 4 | 4 | 23 | 82 | 5 | 3 | 26.1 | 72 | 5 | - 5 | -5.69 | 49 | 3 | 5 | NCBC | 45 | Н | YES |
| 7 | WAO | WOODVALE TOTAL G616 | AA | C 8 | 64 | 5 | 2 | £1 70 | 47 | 4 | 45 | 2.3 | 96 | -0.08 | 82 | 1 | 15 | ii | 61 | 4.5 | 15 | 8.72 | 4 | 3.5 | 4.5 | -4.63 | 27 | 15 | 4.5 | Eurogene | 10 | М | YES |
| 8 | LWS | LAWSONS ROMEO C938 | AA | 68 | 62 | 5 | 2 | (233 | 43 | 5 | 3 | 0.7 | 60 | 80.0 | 46 | 45 | 45 | 8 | 81 | 3.5 | 1 | 6.32 | 4 | 2 | 4 | -7.33 | 24 | 5 | - 5 | Dovea | 10 | Н | YES |
| 9 | DXB | DARIMA BOND | AA | 67 | 56 | 5 | 2 | £1 80 | 50 | 4.5 | 3 | 1 | 76 | 0.16 | 51 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 58 | 3 | 1 | 6.55 | 38 | 2 | 4 | -7.08 | 38 | 45 | 5 | Eurogene | ii | М | YES |
| 10 | LZE | LANIGAN RED BLAZE ET | AA | 654 | 87 | 5 | 15 | 665 | 77 | 0.5 | 25 | 6.6 | 97 | 0.1 | 97 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 20 | 98 | 5 | 2.5 | 1.46 | 62 | 0.5 | 3 | -3.1 | 63 | 0.5 | 4 | NCBC | 12 | H | YES |
| ii | KDU | KILKELLY DUKE | AA | 654 | 86 | - 5 | 15 | C180 | 69 | 4.5 | 5 | 3.1 | 96 | -0.06 | 93 | 1.5 | 2 | 14 | 97 | 5 | 1.5 | 7.01 | 22 | 2.5 | 4.5 | -5.08 | 53 | 2.5 | 4.5 | NCBC | 10 | H | YES |

- How can we reduce the 'data overload'?
- Remove the 'Key Profit Traits' section?
- Farmer can access further details on a bull online.



Criteria

Current Criteria for inclusion on the lists:

Terminal List:

•

•

٠

- Terminal Index Reliability >50%
- Calving Difficulty Reliability >50%
- Carcass Weight Reliability >50%
- No 'S' or 'F' coded bulls included.

Replacement List:

- Replacement Index Reliability >50%
- Calving Difficulty Reliability >50%
- Carcass Weight Reliability >50%
- Daughter Calving Interval >50%
- No 'S' or 'F' coded bulls included.



Criteria

- **Questions for discussion:**
- Who are the lists for?

•

•

•

- Pedigree & Commercial farmers
- Just commercial farmers

• Are the current reliability cut-offs appropriate?

Straw price of bulls on the list?

· 'Availability' details of bulls on the list?





IRISH CATTLE BREEDING FEDERATION

Genomics for parentage verification



7th October 2013



Genotyping - Background

- New process in 2013 for Pedigree Beef Male Calves
 - €10 Farmer refunded if part of DAFM BDP scheme
 - €10 Society/Farmer
 - €10 Teagasc
 - €10 ICBF
- Using New technology IDB19 & SNP Sire Verification
- Technical issues resulted in unforeseen delays and caused significant issues for the breed societies involved.
- Considerable resources invested in past few months to rectify.
- Continue to work through new issues as they arise learning experience for all involved.





Genotyping – Current status

- 33,000~ sample kits sent out (incl. males & females)
 - 18,000~ Beef Animals & 15,000~ Dairy Animals
- 20,700~ samples back
 9,800~ Beef Animals
- 16,200~ samples all dispatched to Weatherbys
 5,600~ Beef Animals
- 14,800~ genotypes received
 750~ Failed Call Pate
 - 750~ Failed Call Rate



Genotyping – Current status

• Beef

- Male Cards Issued Between 95% & 55%
- Male Cards Returned Between 62% & 13%
- Male Low Call Rates Between 2% & 5%
- Sire Verification
 - Sire Verified by SNP Between 48% & 10%
 - Sire Verified by Microsatellite Between 60% & 20%
- Other Categories
 - Excluded, MS Typed Only, Failed, Under Investigation
- Outstanding Animals
 - Continue to work through these with Weatherbys & to building detailed reporting system to allow Herdbooks to manage.



Additional Processing

- For ET calves typically a genotype does not exist for the dam. An imputed MS is generated and sent to Weatherbys for dam and sire verification by means of MS.
- For calves that don't have a sire genotyped the calf must get an imputed MS and then be verified by Weatherbys
- For animals that have poor quality hair samples, resampling must take place
- For animals that are genotyped with poor call rates, resampling must take place
- Hair cards are slowly returning Text message reminders are actively being sent out.



Herdbook Screens

| Date Requested | Herd | Owner | Animal Number | Sex | Breed | Sample | Org | Sent to Farm | ICBF Received | Sent to Lab | Chip | Genotype Received | Status | Date of Status | Cert Printed |
|-------------------|--------|-------|---------------|-----|-------|--------|-----|-----------------|------------------|----------------|------------|----------------------|---|-------------------|-----------------|
| 29-JUL-13 | IE241) | í I | 30442 | м | но | HAIR | IH | 29-JUL-13 | 09-AUG-13 | 14-AUG-13 | IDB 19K | 23-AUG-13 | SIRE VERIFIED | 03-OCT-13 | 06-OCT-13 |
| 29-JUL-13 | IE241) | | 30441 | м | но | HAIR | IH | 29-JUL-13 | 09-AUG-13 | 14-AUG-13 | IDB 19K | 23-AUG-13 | GENOTYPE PUBLISHED | 26-SEP-13 | 29-SEP-13 |
| 29-JUL-13 | IE141 | | 92532 | м | но | BVD | IH | 29-JUL-13 | 31-JUL-13 | 31-JUL-13 | IDB 19K | 16-AUG-13 | CLOSED due to LOW CALL RATE | 16-AUG-13 | |
| 29-JUL-13 | IE241) | | 30453 | м | но | HAIR | IH | 29-JUL-13 | 09-AUG-13 | 14-AUG-13 | IDB 19K | 23-AUG-13 | GENOTYPE PUBLISHED | 26-SEP-13 | |
| 29-JUL-13 | IE121 | | 30075 | м | HE | HAIR | HE | 29-JUL-13 | 20-AUG-13 | 21-AUG-13 | IDB 19K | 30-AUG-13 | SIRE VERIFIED | 13-SEP-13 | 13-SEP-13 |
| 29-JUL-13 | IE151 | | 30240 | F | HE | HAIR | HE | 29-JUL-13 | | | IDB 19K | | AWAITING return of sample from farm | 29-JUL-13 | |
| 29-JUL-13 | IE151 | | 70379 | м | HE | HAIR | HE | 29-JUL-13 | 26-AUG-13 | 28-AUG-13 | IDB 19K | 06-SEP-13 | SIRE VERIFIED | 23-SEP-13 | 04-OCT-13 |
| 29-JUL-13 | IE121) | | 30557 | м | HE | HAIR | HE | 29-JUL-13 | | | IDB 19K | | AWAITING return of sample from farm | 29-JUL-13 | |
| 29-JUL-13 | IE131) | | 50781 | м | HE | HAIR | HE | 29-JUL-13 | 07-AUG-13 | 07-AUG-13 | IDB 19K | | SAMPLE IN LAB | 07-AUG-13 | |
| 29-JUL-13 | IE121) | | 40904 | м | HE | HAIR | HE | 29-JUL-13 | | | IDB 19K | | AWAITING return of sample from farm | 29-JUL-13 | |
| 29-JUL-13 | IE121) | | 40887 | F | HE | HAIR | HE | 29-JUL-13 | | | IDB 19K | | AWAITING return of sample from farm | 29-JUL-13 | |
| 29-JUL-13 | IE141 | | 30511 | м | HE | HAIR | HE | 29-JUL-13 | 02-AUG-13 | 07-AUG-13 | IDB 19K | 16-AUG-13 | SIRE VERIFIED | 20-AUG-13 | 21-AUG-13 |
| 29-JUL-13 | IE231: | | 50620 | F | HE | HAIR | HE | 29-JUL-13 | 16-AUG-13 | | IDB 19K | | SAMPLE IN STORAGE | 16-AUG-13 | 16-AUG-13 |
| 29-JUL-13 | IE121) | | 90315 | м | HE | HAIR | HE | 29-JUL-13 | 01-OCT-13 | 02-OCT-13 | IDB 19K | | SAMPLE IN LAB | 02-OCT-13 | |
| 29-JUL-13 | IE121) | | 30560 | F | HE | HAIR | HE | 29-JUL-13 | | | IDB 19K | | AWAITING return of sample from farm | 29-JUL-13 | |



Beef Genomics

Have identified ~600 bulls with good reliability for calving and maternal weaning weight or have a calf born in 2013 or have an insemination in 2013

~300 of these with samples in Weatherbys – HD genotyped in the next couple of months.

Target remaining 300 to get DNA over the next couple of months – we have some in stock but will be in touch with AIs and societies in the next couple of weeks

Significantly reduce the amount of animals requiring MS imputation => reduce turnaround time by at least a week



Genomics

Maternal Grand Sire verification & prediction

Program developed by Van Kaam (2013) to verify the MGS of a genotyped animal without genotyping the dam (assumes MGS is genotyped)

Can also suggest potential MGS where the MGS is incorrect

Program is highly accurate (>98%)

Working to have it in place for Spring 2014



Genomics – Ear Tag trial

Currently most sampling done using hair cards To date very successful but can lead to some mis-sampling, and may require re-sampling if not enough hair follicles. Also labour intensive. 2% re-test rate (call rate <90%) once genotyped

Ear tissue samples that have gone through BVD testing have been used for genotyping but 15% samples <90% call rate therefore require re-sampling (using hair cards) and re-genotyping at full cost

Trailing a tag which can do BVD testing without damaging the ear tissue which can then be used for genotyping Tissue get stored in a tube with solution rather than a dry tube



Genomics – Ear Tag trial

2 Herds – dairy and beef

Tagging animals as normal and do normal BVD Taking an extra punch with new tag and doing BVD test and genotyping Assess the results of both All flex doing an EU wide trial to validate solution

Potential to use it in place of current tag once BVD scheme ends





Genomics Update-IDBv2, Breed Composition, Harelip

8th October 2013

IDB.v1

IDB SNP CHIP INTERNATIONAL DAIRY & BEEF SNP CHIP



Designed in association with the Irish Cattle Breeding Federation (ICBF), Teagasc, Weatherbys and USDA's Agricultural Research Service.

This custom chip is the very latest design catering for both Beef and Dairy.

The chip consists of the Illumina LD (7K) base content plus a further 10,000 (10K) SNPs carefully selected to ensure very high imputation accuracy to HD & to convert to Microsatellite data for parentage verification. This extra panel of SNPs provides the very latest dual product for both Beef & Dairy breeds.

Both the core and additional ISAG recommended SNP parentage panels are present on the chip.

The IDB also contains a comprehensive selection of genetic markers to screen for genetic disorders & major genes.

For more details Contact: Weatherbys Ireland DNA Laboratory





Parent Verification SNP Microsatellite

Sire Identification

Genomic Selection

Genetic Disease Status

Major Genes

Imputation to Higher SNP Density

IDB.v1

versus

IDB.v2

Lethal recessives

- 1 CVM*-Complex Vertebral malformation
- 2 DUMPS
- 3 Brachyspina*
- 4 BLAD

Congenital disorders

- 1 Arthrogryposis (Curly Calf)*
- 2 Fawn Calf Syndrome or Contractural Arachnodactyly*
- 3 Hypotrichosis PMel17
- 4 Hypotrichosis in Belted Galloway, HEPHL1 SNP
- 5 Hypotrichosis KRT71*
- 6 Spiderleg- MOCS1 gene- Simmental
- 7 Spiderleg- SOUX gene- Brown Swiss
- 8 Polledness
- 9 Mule Foot
- 10 Tibial Hemimelia (TH)*
- 11 Black/Red Coat Color/Red Factor
- 12 Red Recessive coat colour (Different to red factor)
- 13 Silver Color Dilutor
- 14 Dun Color
- 15 RNF11 (affects growth and stature)
- 16 Osteopetrosis (Marble Bone Disease)
- 17 Pink Eye (Infectious Bovine Keratoconjunctivitis)
- 18 Protoporphyria Ferrochelatase Gene (Photosensitization)
- 19 SMA- Spinal muscular atrophy
- 20 Beta Lactoglobulin
- 21 Beta Mannosidosis
- 22 Alpha Mannosidosis
- 23 Citrullinemia
- 24 CMDI: Congenital muscular dystonia I
- 25 CMDII: Congenital muscular dystonia II
- 26 Crooked Tail Syndrome*
- 27 Factor XI
- 28 Heterochromia Irides (White Eye)
- 29 SDM- Spinal dysmyelination-SPAST Gene
- 30 Idiopathic Epilepsy*
- 31 Pulmonary Hypoplasia*
- 32 Weaver
- 33 Neuropathic hydrocephalus* (water head syndrome)

Major genes

- 1 DGAT1
- 2 MSTN (GDF8) Double Muscling*
- 3 A1/A2 beta casein + *
- 4 Fertility Haplotypes (HH1, HH2, HH3, JH1)
- Kappa Casein I
 Kappa Casein II
- 7 ABCG2
- / ABUG2
- 8 GH2141 and GH2291 (Marbling,growth rate)* 9 IGF1-AF017143
- 9 IGF1-AF01 10 STAT1*
- 10 STAT1* 11 STAT3*
- 12 STAT5*
- 13 Calpain (Tenderness) loci



illumina

4613390006

>40 new diseases/major genes

RVC, fertility haplotype AH1, fertility haplotype HH5, fertility haplotype BH2, fertility haplotype HH3, abortion HH1, abortion BY, abortion HH4, Abortion MH1. Abortion MH2 Abortion JH1 Abortion Anhidrotic ectodermal dysplasia Axonopathy Cardiomyopathy-woolly haircoat Cardiomyopathy, dilated Chediak-Higashi syndrome Chondrodysplasia CMDI CMDII / Startle Disease Coat colour, albinism Coat colour, dilution Dominant white/Bilateral deafness Dwarfism, Angus Dwarfism, BD1 Dexter Dwarfism, BD2 Dexter Dwarfism, growth-hormone Epidermolysis bullosa, Forelimb-girdle muscular anomaly Goitre, familial

Haemophilia A Ichthyosis congenita Lethal multi-organ dysplasia Marfan syndrome Mucopolysaccharidosis IIIB Multiple ocular defects Myasthenic syndrome, congenital **Myoclonus** Neuronal ceroid lipofuscinosis, 5 Perinatal weak calf syndrome Pseudomyotonia, congenital Scurs, type 2 Spherocytosis Thrombopathia Trimethylaminuria, fishy flavor Xanthinuria, type II Yellow fat

* Royalty fees may apply

Breed Composition Identification







Limousin

Simmental

Saler

Breed Composition by Pedigree

| id | br1 | fract1 | br2 | fract2 | br3 | fract3 | br4 | fract4 | br5 | fract5 | br6 | fract6 | br7 | fract7 |
|--------------|-----|--------|-----|--------|-----|--------|-----|--------|-----|--------|-----|--------|-----|--------|
| UMC550707649 | AAN | 20 | SIM | 8 | SIM | 4 | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? |
| UMC550707749 | AAN | 20 | SIM | 8 | SIM | 4 | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? |
| UMC550707809 | AAN | 20 | SIM | 8 | SIM | 4 | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? |
| UMC550707859 | AAN | 20 | SIM | 8 | SIM | 4 | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? |
| UMC550708059 | AAN | 12 | MX | 8 | SIM | 8 | RGU | 4 | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? |
| UMC550709919 | AAN | 12 | MX | 8 | SIM | 8 | RGU | 4 | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? |
| UMC550707709 | MX | 12 | AAN | 8 | SIM | 8 | RGU | 4 | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? |
| UMC550709929 | MX | 12 | AAN | 8 | SIM | 8 | RGU | 4 | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? |
| UMC550709809 | SIM | 8 | RGU | 8 | SIM | 8 | MX | 8 | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? |
| UMC550710529 | SIM | 8 | RGU | 8 | SIM | 8 | MX | 8 | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? |
| 966780803 | IRM | 8 | BBL | 7 | HER | 4 | MSH | 4 | HOL | 3 | LIM | 3 | ? | 0 |
| 1062333858 | IRM | 8 | BBL | 7 | HER | 4 | MSH | 4 | HOL | 3 | LIΜ | 3 | ? | 0 |
| 801102442 | CHA | 7 | IRM | 7 | MSH | 7 | BBL | 4 | HER | 3 | ? | 0 | ? | 0 |
| 966772724 | HOL | 7 | IRM | 7 | BBL | 7 | HER | 4 | LIΜ | 3 | ? | 0 | ? | 0 |
| 1054575523 | HOL | 7 | IRM | 7 | BBL | 7 | HER | 4 | LIΜ | 3 | ? | 0 | ? | 0 |
| 966772725 | MSH | 7 | BBL | 7 | HOL | 5 | HER | 4 | IRM | 2 | BRF | 2 | LIM | 2 |
| 975493594 | MSH | 7 | BBL | 7 | HOL | 5 | CHA | 4 | HER | 4 | BRF | 2 | ? | 0 |
| 1063263346 | MSH | 7 | BBL | 7 | HOL | 5 | HER | 4 | IRM | 2 | ? | 0 | ? | 0 |
| 1060498017 | IRM | 7 | BBL | 7 | HER | 4 | HOL | 3 | LIM | 3 | MSH | 3 | ? | 0 |
| 901978118 | LIM | 7 | BBL | 7 | HER | 4 | IRM | 4 | MSH | 4 | HOL | 3 | ? | 0 |
| 1054575524 | LIΜ | 7 | BBL | 7 | HER | 4 | IRM | 4 | MSH | 4 | HOL | 3 | ? | 0 |
| 901979455 | MSH | 7 | BBL | 7 | HER | 4 | IRM | 4 | HOL | 3 | LIΜ | 3 | ? | 0 |
| 971256868 | BBL | 7 | HOL | 5 | MSH | 5 | HER | 4 | LIΜ | 4 | CHA | 2 | BRF | 2 |
| 1063263345 | BBL | 7 | HOL | 5 | MSH | 5 | HER | 4 | CHA | 2 | IRM | 2 | BRF | 2 |
| 975493591 | BBL | 7 | CHA | 4 | HER | 4 | IRM | 4 | HOL | 3 | LIM | 3 | MSH | 3 |
| 1060498016 | BBL | 7 | CHA | 4 | HER | 4 | IRM | 4 | HOL | 3 | LIΜ | 3 | MSH | 3 |

Breed Composition – Genomic Identification









Research Setup

Reference:

12,700 animals 35 pure breeds

Test:

1,443 cross bred animals Varying # of breeds, animals, SNP



| breed | HD | 50K | IDB | LD |
|-------|------|-----|------|----|
| AAN | 465 | | 41 | |
| AYS | 3 | | • | |
| BAQ | 3 | 5 | • | • |
| BBL | 297 | | 10 | • |
| BGA | • | 4 | • | • |
| BRF | 39 | | 13 | • |
| BSW | • | 42 | • | • |
| CHA | 1021 | | 1834 | • |
| CIA | 2 | 6 | | • |
| DEV | | 3 | • | |
| DNR | 1 | | • | |
| DXT | • | 4 | • | |
| GLW | • | 4 | • | |
| GUE | • | 21 | • | • |
| HER | 309 | | 486 | |
| HLA | • | 8 | • | |
| HOL | 2732 | | 298 | 25 |
| JER | 76 | 29 | • | |
| KER | • | 3 | • | • |
| LIM | 1026 | | 1622 | 1 |
| MAJ | • | 333 | • | • |
| MAR | • | 2 | • | • |
| MGR | • | 4 | • | • |
| MON | 33 | 35 | • | • |
| MRY | 5 | | • | • |
| MSH | 137 | 168 | • | • |
| NMD | • | 31 | • | • |
| PAR | 2 | | • | • |
| PIE | • | 29 | • | • |
| RBT | 1 | | | |
| RDC | 9 | 21 | | |
| ROM | | 29 | | |
| SAL | 2 | 104 | | |
| SIM | 610 | 434 | 280 | |
| SWR | 5 | • | • | • |

Breed Composition – Genomic Identification







Breed Composition – Genomic Identification

- IDB SNPs + Large Reference Population
 - Powerful tool to identify animal's breed composition
- Genomic breed composition
 - Additional layer of traceability and assurance to consumer and processors
- Next on going steps:
 - Identify minimal SNP needed
 - Validation
 - Round out Reference Population



Dexter



Kerry

Harelip update

- HDSNP genotyped animals
 - 25 affected halfsibs
 - 49 non-affected halfsibs
 - 11 non-affected animals



Harelip update

| 7 - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | • | | | |
|-----|---|---------|---|---|-----|----------------|---------|---|-----|-----|----------------|----|----------|---------|----|--------------|----|---------|----|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|------|------------|
| 6 | - | | | | | | | | • | | | | | - 7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | - | | ŀ | | • | • | | _ | 11 | | | | | | | | _ | | | | | - | | • | | | - | - |
| 5 | - | • | | | • | | | • | • | | | | | | , | | | | | | | | | | | • | | |
| 4 | - | | : | | | • | • | | Ŀ | ••• | • | | • | | | - | | | • | | | • | | | | | - | |
| | | | | : | | • • | • | | H | | - - - | | • | | | • | | | • | • | ••• | | | | | | | ай Ай А |
| 3 - | | | | | | | | | i i | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | A .: 27 | | | 盗 | 1 | | | | i. | 24 | | Å | | | | | | | | | N | |
| 2 · | | | | | | | | | | | | | а. А. | | | | | | | | | | | | 3 | | | |
| 1 | | 원 17 | | | - | 14 24 11 | | | | | ित). - इ.इ. | | | - 1 | • | 23 3 († 1 | 1 | E. T | | | | | +25 | 4 | | | | |
| | - | | | | · . | | | | | | | | | | | | · | • | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | 1 | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 2 | 20 2 | 1 22 | 23 : | 24 2 | 5 26 | 27 28 | 3 29 | x |

Harelip update

• HDSNP genotyped animals

- Adding in ~7,600 Holsteins with 50K data
 - Try to identify diagnostic haplotype
 - Identify genomic region



IRISH CATTLE BREEDING FEDERATION

Genetics of Health & Disease.



3rd October 2013.

Why are we interested?

- · Health & disease costs money.
- Impact of these traits will increase in future.
 - Costs of production will rise more rapidly than value of output.
- Each of these traits has a genetic basis.
 Need to first understand level and then exploit differences.
- Genetics permanent, cumulative & highly cost-effective.



Genetic change is possible even with low heritability




Proposal

- · Collate all health data available
- Estimate genetic parameters
- Quantify inter-relationships between traits
- Establish a robustness index covering the main diseases.
- Include in future versions of EBI and Beef €uro-Stars.



Weighting on robustness

- Establish expert group
 - DAFM, Teagasc, breeding industry
- Allocate relative (economic) value to the entire dairy or beef sector
- Weight each disease accordingly in a robustness index
- Weight on robustness index will be dictated by change in genetic gain in other traits in the breeding goal



Results to-date



BVD – sire prevalence





The Irish Agriculture and Food Development Authority

TB – sire prevalence





The Irish Agriculture and Food Development Authority

TB - genetic trends





The Irish Agriculture and Food Development Authority

Johne's data from Tully & Contemporaries:

- · Johne's samples: 2795
 - 99 positive animals (3.54%) from 74 known and 15 unknown sires
 - 293 total herds
 - · Avg. 9.6 records/herd



- Too small to get heritabilility estimates from this data but found <u>8.8%</u>
 <u>heritability</u> in dairy herds across dairy data set
 - \cdot Likely similar in beef herds





Raw data: % of progeny infected with Johne's

Johne's dairy data

- Data acquired as part of milk recording service
 - Collection -1/month-4/year
- Started with 59k records
- Applied constraints



- "True positives," vaccination, exposure, age, parentage, origin, movement
- Finished with 6864 uninfected and 222 infected animals
 - 98 herds in 7 counties
 - 348 unique sires (max=195 min=5)







Raw Data: Herds Collaboration is key



Where next - More data!

| Trait | h2 | Current data providers* |
|--|-----|---------------------------------|
| Category 1 - Research largely complete | | |
| - BVD | 10% | AHI |
| - TB | 18% | DAFM |
| - Female fertility | 3% | ICBF |
| Category 2 - Research underway | | |
| - Johnne's | 10% | DAFM, milk processors & Teagasc |
| - Mastitits/lameness | 5% | ICBF |
| - IBR | 28% | DAFM, milk processors & Teagasc |
| Category 3 - Research not started | | |
| - Fluke | ? | Meat processors |
| - Pneumonia | ? | DAFM, Teagasc, ICBF |
| - Scour | ? | DAFM, Teagasc, ICBF |



On-farm data collection

- Some of the traits require improved onfarm data collection systems.
 - Calf diseases, mastitis, lameness....
- Working with stakeholders to develop an "Animal Events" type system for health & disease recording.
- · Simple, remove duplication & electronic.
- Pilot on initial group of farms, rolled to all farms in the future.



Summary.

- Animal health will be the next biggest factor impacting farm profit
- · Genetics has a role to play
- Need to establish a common platform for sharing and utilising health & disease data.
 - Genetics, surveillance, management....









Cost:Benefits of Sexed Semen for Irish dairy and beef industries.











Why the interest?

- \cdot To achieve a desire gender outcome.
 - Sexed female dairy, then beef AI.
- · Additional value to industry.

Semen Research Partners

Sexing

- Significant industry support -> FH2020.
- But reduced conception rates.
 Heifers 50% -> 35% preg rate, cows don't use!
- Recent developments in technology.

Doveagenetics

 "International" field trial in Ireland to establish potential cost:benefits.

Holstein Friesian - Study Design



100 technicians, 400 herds, 1st inseminations only = 14,700 females (109% of target).

| | Actual | 1614 | 2536 | 1572 | 2177 | 1434 | 2288 | 1490 | 1924 | |
|---|-----------|---------|-----------|----------|--------------|--------|------|----------|----------|-------|
| | % Target | 120% | 125% | 116% | 108% | 106% | 113% | 110% | 95% | |
| S | exed Seme | n Resea | rch Partn | ers | | | | | | |
| | | ng ICB | | 25, Dove | agenetics mu | inster | | DAWN MEA | IS AND S | laney |

(

Effect of sexing on conception rate

| Conventional | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 100% | | | | | | | |
| 87% | | | | | | | |
| 75% | | | | | | | |
| 87% | | | | | | | |
| Cows (P < 0.001) | | | | | | | |
| Conventional | | | | | | | |
| 100% | | | | | | | |
| 76% | | | | | | | |
| 64% | | | | | | | |
| 85% | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

The Value Proposition - 100 cows.

| Option | Profit | % Inc |
|--|----------|--------|
| Current; 13 week breeding, 6 weeks AI. | €48,964 | |
| Option 1; Dairy heifers only | +€1,028 | +2.1% |
| Option 2; Dairy heifers & cows, beef AI and beef NM | +€3,677 | +7.5% |
| Option 3; Dairy heifers & cows, dairy heifer premium (€500). | +6,265 | +12.8% |
| Option 4; Dairy heifers & cows, dairy heifer premium, lower cost & better fertility. | +€10,735 | +21.9% |

 Sexed semen -> more profit. Expect significant industry uptake.

DAWN MEATS

Sexed Semen Research Partners

Sexing

Industry Cost: Benefit (100k insems)

| Sites | Description | Benefit (€) | Sub-total (€) | Total (€) |
|----------------|---|-------------|------------------|-----------|
| On-Farm | Maximizing dairy heifers from cows and heifers | 172,805 | | |
| | Maximizing dairy heifers from heifers only | 30,715 | 2,901,306 | |
| | AI beef bull and NM beef bull | 2,697,786 | | 9,333,568 |
| Meat companies | Saved losses on dairy bulls | 2,357,382 | 2,357,382 | |
| Milk processor | Increased cows supplying milk | 4,073,880 | 4,073,880 | |

 Not just farmers benefit. Need a model that reflects shared costs/benefit's across industry.

JOURNAL TO DOVEAGENETICS MUL

Sexed Semen Research Partners

Sexing

Where to now?

- Excellent results from trial.
- · Suckler trial underway.

Semen Research Partners

- Move entire AI industry to sexed semen
 - Target 500k doses/yr in 5 years (80% market).
 - Extra ~€50m/annum for industry.
- Long term contractual commitment required to get access to technology.
- Currently working with industry stakeholders to make this happen.

23 Doveagenetics



IRISH CATTLE BREEDING FEDERATION

G€N€ IR€LAND Waternal breeding program





Objectives of G€N€ IR€LAND MBBP

1. Identify the top maternal bulls across all the breeds and subsequent progeny testing to identify the best bulls

2. Reward herds that consistently provide high quality data for genetic evaluations – Herd Data Quality Index (HDQI)



Herds signed up-to-date

✤ 200 herds

Breakdown by breed of pedigree females in the program (n =

| (2) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|------|-----|----|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Breed | AA | AU | BB | SA | СН | HE | LM | РТ | BA | SH | SI |
| Pedigree females | 1174 | 177 | 71 | 394 | 978 | 516 | 1973 | 170 | 120 | 121 | 625 |

Data collection visit

- Weight, docility & functionality data
- 120 herds visited to date
- 5 scorers now allocated to visit these herds

ICBF herds visits

- Overview of program, benefits & how to record information online etc.
- 90 herds visited to date (was 45 last time)
- Carried out by ICBF staff



Committee meetings to date

Focus is on:

- Identifying bulls for mating advice
 - Must have adequate semen available
 - Autumn 2013
- Identifying bulls for progeny testing
 - > Each breed has different criteria i.e. calving difficulty etc
 - ➤ Available for Spring 2014 G€N€ IR€LAND program

- Promoting the program
 - Weekly piece in IFJ



Purchasing bulls

- Purchased 14 bulls recently
 - 4 Charolais
 - 2 Simmental
 - 2 Salers
 - 2 Parthenaise
 - ➤ 4 Limousin
- 1000 doses of semen collected
 - ➢ 500 doses for progeny test
 - 500 doses retained for elite mating's
 - ✓ GI herds have access to this semen



Purchasing criteria

- ♦ €5000 €6000 is paid pending health testing
 - 50 doses of semen

✤ Bonus of €5000

- Bull achieves 4.5 stars on replacement index
 - ✤ 70% reliability for maternal cow traits
 - ✤ 30 maternal weaning weights

Selling bulls (options)

- Al company have first option
 - Any difference in price will go to the breeder of the bull
- Bull owner has second option
 - Must pay the original price paid
 - Bull is still eligible for the bonus
- Bull is tendered to farmers (third option)
 - Reserve is put on the bull
- Bull is slaughtered if no interest is express or reserve price is not met



Purchasing criteria & mating advice

- Bull are being health tested at present
 - Health testing protocol has being sent to each breeder
- Other breeds
 - Blonde d'Aquitaine, Angus & Belgian Blue.
 - Bull inspections start next week
 - Hereford, Aubrac & Shorthorn
 - Require another meeting
 - SH established committee recently
- Mating suggestions
 - ✤ Available in next week
 - CH, LM, PT, SA & SI breeds
 - Currently underway:
 - ✤ All other breeds (different stages of development) Spring 2014



G€N€ IR€LAND open day

Tully, Co. Kildare – 12th Oct (10.00am – 1.00pm)

Key features on display:

- ➢ Overview of the G€N€ IR€LAND Maternal program
- ➢ Profitability using €uro-Star Indexes
- G€N€ IR€LAND progeny test at Tully
 - · 46 bulls completed 90 day test
 - 54 Steers currently on test (Started: 1st Aug)
 - · 30 bulls currently on test (Started: 28th Aug)
 - · 87 bulls due to commence test (15th Oct)
- Information Area:
 - HerdPlus & GROW services
 - G€N€ IR€LAND sign-up area
 - ICBF weight recording service
 - · Industry stands (Herdbooks, AI companies)





IRISH CATTLE BREEDING FEDERATION

Meat Eating Quality.



Andrew Cromie

